



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FI   | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/797,496                            | (    | 03/10/2004 | Jaemoon Lee          | 21330Y 3986         |                  |
| 210                                   | 7590 | 02/13/2006 |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
| MERCK AND CO., INC                    |      |            |                      | KUMAR, SHAILENDRA   |                  |
| P O BOX 2000<br>RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907 |      |            | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| 14111,                                |      |            |                      | 1621                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandonment  | 10/797,496  | LEE ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | SHAILENDRA KUMAR  | 1621   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office  (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N  period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to continuous proposed reply was received on, but it does need to be a continuous proposed reply was received need to continuous proposed reply was received need to continuous proposed reply was received need to continuous proposed need need need need to continuous proposed need need need need need need need n | lailing or Transmission dated) month(s)) which expired on | ,  |  |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C   | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6   |   | mpt at a proper reply, to the non-                       |  |  |  |  |
| (d) 💢 No reply has been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and<br/>from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8)</li> </ol>   |   | the statutory period of three months                     |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance   | e of \$ is due.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no   | t been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>   | ired by, and within the three-month p                     | eriod set in, the Notice of                              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li><li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>   | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran                    | smission dated), which is                                |  |  |  |  |
| (b) \( \sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the<br/>the applicants.</li> </ol>   | e attorney or agent of record, the assi                   | gnee of the entire interest, or all of                   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an<br/>1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>  | attorney or agent (acting in a represe                    | entative capacity under 37 CFR                           |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference<br/>of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim</li> </ol>  | ence rendered on and becaus<br>ns.                        | e the period for seeking court review                    |  |  |  |  |
| 7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| See Interreden Sum   | mary  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | ( )  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | SHAILENDRA - KUMAR<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 1621 |  |  |  |  |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20060207